

(12) **United States Patent**
Bartning et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 9,173,768 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent:** ***Nov. 3, 2015**

(54) **RESILIENT DEVICE**

A61F 6/12 (2013.01); *A61F 13/2082*
(2013.01); *Y10T 29/49* (2015.01); *Y10T*
29/49826 (2015.01)

(75) Inventors: **Michelle Bartning**, Santa Rosa, CA
(US); **Kevin F. Gironda**, Schwenksville,
PA (US); **Mari Hou**, Basking Ridge, NJ
(US); **Thomas P. Luchino**, Freehold, NJ
(US); **Kirsten Freislinger Luehrs**, Palo
Alto, CA (US); **Pramod Mavinkurve**,
Princeton, NJ (US); **Leonard Rosenfeld**,
Yardley, PA (US); **Raymond J. Hull, Jr.**,
Hampton, NJ (US); **David J. Chase**,
Somerville, NJ (US)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**

CPC *A61F 13/2082*; *A61F 2/005*; *A61F 6/08*;
A61F 6/12; *A61F 2017/00805*; *A61F 2/0022*;
A61F 2/0009; *A61M 31/00*
USPC *600/29-32*; *128/885*; *604/517*
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

1,926,518 A 9/1933 Findley
3,706,311 A 12/1972 Kokx et al.

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

AU 684733 B 7/1994
CN 101522140 A 9/2009

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Bachmann, et al., "External Occlusive Devices for Management of
Female Urinary Incontinence", *Journal of Women's Health*, vol. 11,
No. 9, 2002, publisher: Mary Ann Liebert, Inc., pp. 793-800.

(Continued)

(73) Assignee: **First Quality Hygienic, Inc.**, Great
Neck, NY (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this
patent is extended or adjusted under 35
U.S.C. 154(b) by 1386 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal dis-
claimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **11/776,178**

(22) Filed: **Jul. 11, 2007**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2008/0033230 A1 Feb. 7, 2008

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation-in-part of application No. 11/456,376,
filed on Jul. 10, 2006, and a continuation-in-part of
application No. 11/456,390, filed on Jul. 10, 2006, and
a continuation-in-part of application No. 11/456,402,
filed on Jul. 10, 2006.

(51) **Int. Cl.**

A61F 6/08 (2006.01)
A61F 13/20 (2006.01)

(Continued)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**

CPC . *A61F 6/08* (2013.01); *A61F 2/005* (2013.01);


Primary Examiner — Christine H Matthews

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Amster, Rothstein &
Ebenstein LLP

(57) **ABSTRACT**

An intravaginal device has a working portion (e.g., intravagi-
nal urinary incontinence device suppository, tampon) and an
anchoring portion comprising at least one member extending
beyond at least one end of the working portion to maintain the
working portion in place during use.

14 Claims, 8 Drawing Sheets



(51)	Int. Cl.		5,855,600 A	1/1999	Alt
	A61F 2/00	(2006.01)	5,873,906 A	2/1999	Lau
	A61F 6/12	(2006.01)	5,879,370 A	3/1999	Fischell
			5,879,381 A	3/1999	Moriuchi
			5,888,201 A	3/1999	Stinson
(56)	References Cited		5,891,191 A	4/1999	Stinson
	U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS		5,895,406 A	4/1999	Gray
			5,902,332 A	5/1999	Schatz
			5,911,732 A	6/1999	Hojeibane
			5,911,752 A	6/1999	Dustrude
			5,913,897 A	6/1999	Corso, Jr.
			5,922,019 A	7/1999	Hankh
			5,922,020 A	7/1999	Klein
			5,925,353 A	7/1999	Mosseri
			5,938,682 A	8/1999	Hojeibane
			5,948,191 A	9/1999	Solovay
			5,957,949 A	9/1999	Leonhardt
			5,976,152 A	11/1999	Regan
			5,980,553 A	11/1999	Gray
			5,988,169 A	11/1999	Anderson
			5,997,467 A	12/1999	Connolly
			6,013,036 A	1/2000	Caillouette
			6,019,779 A	2/2000	Thorud
			6,030,375 A	2/2000	Anderson
			6,035,238 A	3/2000	Ingle et al.
			6,048,306 A	4/2000	Spielberg
			6,053,940 A	4/2000	Wijay
			6,063,113 A	5/2000	Kavteladze et al.
			6,086,604 A	7/2000	Fischell
			6,090,038 A	7/2000	Zunker
			6,090,098 A	7/2000	Zunker
			6,106,530 A	8/2000	Harada
			6,110,099 A	8/2000	Benderev
			6,129,755 A	10/2000	Mathis
			6,142,928 A	11/2000	Zunker
			6,158,435 A	12/2000	Dorsey
			6,162,243 A	12/2000	Gray
			6,176,872 B1	1/2001	Miksza
			6,183,456 B1	2/2001	Brown
			6,183,681 B1	2/2001	Sullivan et al.
			6,189,535 B1	2/2001	Enhorming
			6,190,403 B1	2/2001	Fischell
			6,190,406 B1	2/2001	Duerig
			6,197,051 B1	3/2001	Zhong
			6,210,429 B1	4/2001	Vardi
			6,241,738 B1	6/2001	Dereume
			6,245,103 B1	6/2001	Stinson
			6,251,134 B1	6/2001	Alt
			6,254,627 B1	7/2001	Freidberg
			6,254,633 B1	7/2001	Pinchuk
			6,261,305 B1	7/2001	Marotta
			6,270,524 B1	8/2001	Kim
			6,273,895 B1	8/2001	Pinchuk
			6,287,315 B1	9/2001	Wijeratne
			6,299,573 B1	10/2001	Hull, Jr. et al.
			6,312,419 B1	11/2001	Durel-Crain
			6,319,275 B1	11/2001	Lashinski
			6,325,825 B1	12/2001	Kula
			6,342,049 B1	1/2002	Nichols
			6,350,248 B1	2/2002	Knudson
			6,375,676 B1	4/2002	Cox
			6,415,484 B1	7/2002	Moser
			6,418,930 B1	7/2002	Fowler
			6,423,091 B1	7/2002	Hojeibane
			6,436,428 B1	8/2002	Mahashabde
			6,458,072 B1	10/2002	Zunker
			6,460,542 B1	10/2002	James
			6,462,169 B1	10/2002	Shalaby
			6,470,890 B1	10/2002	Diokno
			6,478,726 B1	11/2002	Zunker
			6,478,813 B1	11/2002	Keith
			6,488,702 B1	12/2002	Besselink
			6,503,190 B1	1/2003	Ulmsten
			6,530,879 B1	3/2003	Adamkiewicz
			6,530,951 B1	3/2003	Bates
			6,537,293 B1	3/2003	Berryman
			6,540,775 B1	4/2003	Fischell
			6,547,817 B1	4/2003	Fischell
			6,558,370 B2	5/2003	Moser

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

6,562,064 B1 5/2003 deBeer
 6,562,067 B2 5/2003 Mathis
 6,572,643 B1 6/2003 Gharibadeh
 6,585,755 B2 7/2003 Jackson et al.
 6,585,773 B1 7/2003 Xie
 6,602,281 B1 8/2003 Klein
 6,605,111 B2 8/2003 Bose
 6,605,294 B2 8/2003 Sawhney
 6,612,977 B2 9/2003 Staskin
 6,645,136 B1 11/2003 Zunker
 6,645,137 B2 11/2003 Ulmsten
 6,652,544 B2 11/2003 Houser
 6,666,881 B1 12/2003 Richter
 6,669,707 B1 12/2003 Swanstrom
 6,676,594 B1 1/2004 Zunker
 6,676,692 B2 1/2004 Rabkin
 6,676,694 B1 1/2004 Weiss
 6,679,831 B1 1/2004 Zunker
 6,695,763 B2 2/2004 Zunker
 6,695,876 B1 2/2004 Marotta
 6,699,274 B2 3/2004 Stinson
 6,702,281 B2 3/2004 Post et al.
 6,702,846 B2 3/2004 Mikus et al.
 6,739,340 B1 5/2004 Jensen
 6,752,950 B2 6/2004 Clarke
 6,764,503 B1 7/2004 Ishimaru
 6,770,025 B2 8/2004 Zunker
 6,786,904 B2 9/2004 Döschner
 6,808,485 B2 10/2004 Zunker
 6,881,222 B2 4/2005 White
 6,997,952 B2 2/2006 Furukawa et al.
 7,036,511 B2 5/2006 Nissenkorn
 7,214,219 B2 5/2007 Intravartolo et al.
 7,553,273 B2 6/2009 Ferguson et al.
 7,717,892 B2 5/2010 Bartning et al.
 8,608,639 B2* 12/2013 Bartning et al. 600/30
 8,613,698 B2* 12/2013 Bartning et al. 600/30
 9,050,183 B2 6/2015 Bartning et al.
 2001/0007081 A1 7/2001 Caprio
 2001/0016726 A1 8/2001 Dubrul
 2001/0053932 A1 12/2001 Phelps
 2002/0032486 A1 3/2002 Lazarovitz
 2002/0068975 A1 6/2002 Teitelbaum
 2002/0082610 A1 6/2002 Cioanta
 2002/0083949 A1 7/2002 James
 2002/0087176 A1 7/2002 Greenhalgh
 2002/0087186 A1 7/2002 Shelso
 2002/0116046 A1 8/2002 DiCaprio
 2002/0133183 A1 9/2002 Lentz
 2002/0138134 A1 9/2002 Kim
 2002/0156343 A1 10/2002 Zunker
 2002/0165521 A1 11/2002 Cioanta
 2002/0179093 A1 12/2002 Adamkiewicz
 2002/0183711 A1 12/2002 Moser
 2003/0015203 A1 1/2003 Makower
 2003/0018377 A1 1/2003 Berg
 2003/0040754 A1 2/2003 Mitchell
 2003/0040771 A1 2/2003 Hyodoh
 2003/0125603 A1 7/2003 Zunker
 2003/0187494 A1 10/2003 Loldi
 2004/0049211 A1 3/2004 Tremulis
 2004/0077924 A1 4/2004 Zunker
 2004/0078013 A1 4/2004 Zunker
 2004/0122285 A1 6/2004 Zunker
 2004/0148010 A1 7/2004 Rush
 2004/0158122 A1 8/2004 Guerquin
 2004/0210211 A1 10/2004 Devens
 2004/0230284 A1 11/2004 Headley
 2004/0249238 A1 12/2004 Farrell
 2004/0249352 A1 12/2004 Swick
 2004/0249433 A1 12/2004 Freitag
 2004/0267280 A1 12/2004 Nishide
 2005/0010285 A1 1/2005 Lambrecht

2005/0016545 A1 1/2005 Nissenkorn
 2005/0085923 A1 4/2005 Levine
 2005/0096673 A1 5/2005 Stack
 2005/0096721 A1 5/2005 Mangin
 2005/0096732 A1 5/2005 Marotta
 2005/0125020 A1* 6/2005 Meade et al. 606/191
 2005/0250978 A1 11/2005 Kammerer
 2005/0268573 A1 12/2005 Yan
 2006/0100478 A1 5/2006 Connors et al.
 2006/0161187 A1* 7/2006 Levine et al. 606/153
 2006/0178694 A1 8/2006 Greenhalgh et al.
 2007/0203429 A1 8/2007 Ziv
 2007/0244352 A1 10/2007 Ziv
 2008/0009662 A1 1/2008 Bartning et al.
 2008/0009663 A1 1/2008 Bartning et al.
 2008/0009664 A1 1/2008 Bartning et al.
 2008/0009666 A1 1/2008 Bartning et al.
 2008/0009814 A1 1/2008 Bartning et al.
 2008/0009931 A1 1/2008 Bartning et al.
 2008/0033230 A1 2/2008 Banning et al.
 2008/0033231 A1 2/2008 Bartning et al.
 2008/0188924 A1 8/2008 Prabhu
 2008/0234831 A1 9/2008 Clarke et al.
 2008/0281149 A1 11/2008 Sinai et al.
 2009/0203959 A1 8/2009 Ziv et al.
 2009/0266367 A1 10/2009 Ziv et al.
 2010/0218359 A1 9/2010 Bartning et al.
 2011/0077578 A1 3/2011 Bartning et al.
 2011/0152604 A1 6/2011 Hull, Jr. et al.
 2011/0152605 A1 6/2011 Hull, Jr. et al.
 2012/0136199 A1 5/2012 Hou et al.
 2013/0160272 A1 6/2013 Bartning et al.
 2013/0165742 A1 6/2013 Bartning et al.
 2013/0211185 A1 8/2013 Hull, Jr. et al.

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

DE 202006004901 7/2006
 EP 0 264 258 A2 4/1988
 EP 0 498 912 A 8/1992
 EP 0 556 908 A 8/1993
 EP 0 625 890 A 11/1994
 EP 0 663 197 A 7/1995
 EP 0 663 197 B 7/1995
 EP 0 700 669 A 3/1996
 EP 0 955 024 A 11/1999
 EP 0 963 217 B 12/2004
 EP 1 837 151 A1 9/2007
 GB 2364645 A 2/2002
 JP 2007185914 7/2007
 RU 2150919 6/2000
 RU 2150919 C1 6/2000
 WO 88/10106 A 12/1988
 WO 95/05790 A 3/1995
 WO 96/10965 A 4/1996
 WO 97/34550 A 9/1997
 WO 98/34677 A 8/1998
 WO 98/42281 A 10/1998
 WO 99/22680 A 5/1999
 WO 99/59477 A1 11/1999
 WO 00/03659 A 1/2000
 WO 00/67662 A 11/2000
 WO 01/67983 A 9/2001
 WO 01/70154 A 9/2001
 WO 02/26160 A 4/2002
 WO 02/28313 A 4/2002
 WO 03/047476 A 6/2003
 WO 2004/026196 A 4/2004
 WO 2004/103213 A 12/2004
 WO 2005/087153 A 9/2005
 WO 2005/087154 A2 9/2005
 WO 2006/097935 A2 9/2006
 WO 2008008794 A2 1/2008
 WO 2008/010214 A 1/2008
 WO 2008/152628 A 12/2008
 WO 2009/044394 A 4/2009

(56)

References Cited

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

WO 2009079607 A1 6/2009
 WO WO 2009/130702 A 10/2009

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

In the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,376, filed Jul. 10, 2006 the Restriction Requirement dated Nov. 20, 2008.

In the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,376, filed Jul. 10, 2006 the Final Rejection dated Feb. 15, 2012.

In the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,402, filed Jul. 10, 2006 the Final Rejection dated Apr. 4, 2012.

In the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,424, filed Jul. 10, 2006 the Notice of Allowance dated Feb. 16, 2012.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,376 (2008/0009662A1) the non-final rejection dated Mar. 10, 2009.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,376 (2008/0009662A1) the final rejection dated Sep. 23, 2009.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,376 (2008/0009662A1) the non-final rejection dated Jan. 7, 2010.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,376 (2008/0009662A1) the final rejection dated Jul. 8, 2010.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,376 (2008/0009662A1) the advisory action dated Sep. 27, 2010.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,376 (2008/0009662A1) the non-final rejection dated Oct. 21, 2010.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,376 (2008/0009662A1) the final rejection dated Mar. 29, 2011.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,376 (2008/0009662A1) the non-final rejection dated Jul. 14, 2011.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,390 (2008/0009931A1) the non-final rejection dated Dec. 10, 2008.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,390 (2008/0009931A1) the final rejection dated May 13, 2009.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,390 (2008/0009931A1) the non-final rejection dated Sep. 24, 2009.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,390 (2008/0009931A1) the final rejection dated Jul. 13, 2010.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,402 (2008/0009814A1) the non-final rejection dated Jul. 11, 2007.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,402 (2008/0009814A1) the non-final rejection dated Apr. 22, 2008.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,402 (2008/0009814A1) the final rejection dated Jan. 7, 2009.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,402 (2008/0009814A1) the non-final rejection dated Jun. 5, 2009.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,402 (2008/0009814A1) the final rejection dated Dec. 4, 2009.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,402 (2008/0009814A1) the advisory action dated Mar. 2, 2010.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,402 (2008/0009814A1) the non-final rejection dated Oct. 15, 2010.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,402 (2008/0009814A1) the final rejection dated Mar. 30, 2011.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,402 (2008/0009814A1) the non-final rejection dated Aug. 18, 2011.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,424 (2008/0009663A1) the non-final rejection dated May 23, 2008.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,424 (2008/0009663A1) the final rejection dated Sep. 22, 2009.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,424 (2008/0009663A1) the non-final rejection dated Jan. 15, 2010.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,424 (2008/0009663A1) the final rejection dated Jul. 8, 2010.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,424 (2008/0009663A1) the advisory action dated Sep. 29, 2010.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,424 (2008/0009663A1) the non-final rejection dated Oct. 21, 2010.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,424 (2008/0009663A1) the final rejection dated Mar. 28, 2011.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,424 (2008/0009663A1) the non-final rejection dated Jul. 8, 2011.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,433 (2008/0009664A1 / U.S. Pat. No. 7,717,892) the non-final rejection dated Aug. 31, 2007.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,433 (2008/0009664A1 / U.S. Pat. No. 7,717,892) the notice of allowance dated Apr. 9, 2008.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,433 (2008/0009664A1 / U.S. Pat. No. 7,717,892) the non-final rejection dated Aug. 20, 2008.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,433 (2008/0009664A1 / U.S. Pat. No. 7,717,892) the final rejection dated May 6, 2009.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,433 (2008/0009664A1 / U.S. Pat. No. 7,717,892) the notice of allowance dated Nov. 23, 2009.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,433 (2008/0009664A1 / U.S. Pat. No. 7,717,892) the notice of allowance dated Mar. 25, 2010.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,442 (2008/0009666A1 / U.S. Pat. No. 8,047,980) the non-final rejection dated May 28, 2008.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,442 (2008/0009666A1 / U.S. Pat. No. 8,047,980) the final rejection dated Sep. 25, 2009.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,442 (2008/0009666A1 / U.S. Pat. No. 8,047,980) the non-final rejection dated Jan. 13, 2010.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,442 (2008/0009666A1 / U.S. Pat. No. 8,047,980) the final rejection dated Jul. 8, 2010.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,442 (2008/0009666A1 / U.S. Pat. No. 8,047,980) the advisory action dated Sep. 27, 2010.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,442 (2008/0009666A1 / U.S. Pat. No. 8,047,980) the non-final rejection dated Oct. 21, 2010.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,442 (2008/0009666A1 / U.S. Pat. No. 8,047,980) the final rejection dated Mar. 29, 2011.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,442 (2008/0009666A1 / U.S. Pat. No. 8,047,980) the notice of allowance dated Jul. 25, 2011.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,442 (2008/0009666A1 / U.S. Pat. No. 8,047,980) the notice of allowance dated Sep. 19, 2011.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/776,185 (2008/0033231A1 / U.S. Pat. No. 7,892,163) the non-final rejection dated May 23, 2008.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/776,185 (2008/0033231A1 / U.S. Pat. No. 7,892,163) the final rejection dated Jul. 6, 2009.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/776,185 (2008/0033231A1 / U.S. Pat. No. 7,892,163) the non-final rejection dated Oct. 26, 2009.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/776,185 (2008/0033231A1 / U.S. Pat. No. 7,892,163) the final rejection dated May 11, 2010.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/776,185 (2008/0033231A1 / U.S. Pat. No. 7,892,163) the notice of allowance dated Aug. 25, 2010.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/776,185 (2008/0033231A1 / U.S. Pat. No. 7,892,163) the notice of allowance dated Jan. 10, 2011.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 12/645,800 the non-final rejection dated May 23, 2012.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,376 the non-final rejection dated May 24, 2012.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 12/776,719 the non-final rejection dated Jun. 29, 2012.

In the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,376 the final office action dated Dec. 6, 2012.

In the U.S. Appl. No. 12/776,719 the final office action dated Jan. 24, 2013.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,376 the non-final office action dated Mar. 11, 2013.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 13/771,648 the non-final office action dated Apr. 24, 2013.

In the U.S. Appl. No. 12/959,582 the non-final office action dated May 2, 2013.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 13/756,699 the non-final office action dated Jul. 18, 2013.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 12/776,719 the Notice of Allowance dated Aug. 29, 2013.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,376 the Notice of Allowance dated Sep. 13, 2013.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 13/771,648 the final office action dated Oct. 7, 2013.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 12/776,719 the Notice of Allowance dated Nov. 14, 2013.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,376 the Notice of Allowance dated Nov. 20, 2013.

(56)

References Cited**OTHER PUBLICATIONS**

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 12/959,582 the Non-final Rejection dated Dec. 2, 2013.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 13/771,648 the Notice of Allowance dated Dec. 16, 2013.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 13/756,699 the final office action dated Feb. 6, 2014.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 13/771,648 the non-final rejection dated Feb. 6, 2014.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,390 the non-final rejection dated Mar. 14, 2014.

Braley, S., "The Silicones as Subdermal Engineering Materials", *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 146, *Materials in Biochemical Engineering*, pp. 148-157 (Jan. 1968).

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,402 the non-final office action dated Apr. 23, 2014.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 12/959,582 the final office action dated Jun. 20, 2014.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,390 the final office action dated Jul. 2, 2014.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 13/756,699 the non-final office action dated Jul. 3, 2014.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 12/959,582 the non-final office action dated Oct. 6, 2014.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,402 the final office action dated Oct. 31, 2014.

In re the U.S. Appl. No. 13/756,699 the final office action dated Nov. 7, 2014.

Guo Y et al., entitled "Isothermal physical aging characterization of Polyether-ether-ketone (PEEK) and Polyphenylene sulfide (PPS) films by creep and stress relaxation," *Mech Time-Depend Mater* (2007) 11: 61-89.

Office Action dated Apr. 22, 2015 in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,390.

Office Action dated Dec. 26, 2014 in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/956,824.

Zhanxiong L et al., entitled "High Temperature Resistant Polymers," Chemical Industry Press, 2007, 3 pages.

Wang X et al., entitled "Performance, Polymerization and Spinning of Polyetherimide," *Materials Review* (2007), 11 pages.

Zhou K et al., entitled "Characteristics, Processing and Application of Polyetherimide," *Technology and Materials*, 2003, 5 pages.

Omnexus, entitled "Hardness of different families of thermoplastic elastomers," internet archive capture from Nov. 7, 2007.

Office Action dated Apr. 3, 2015 in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/959,582.

Office Action dated Jul. 15, 2015 in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/771,728.

Office Action dated Feb. 9, 2015 in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/771,728.

Office Action dated Aug. 12, 2015 in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/609,139.

Office Action dated Feb. 10, 2015 in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/609,139.

Office Action dated Jun. 24, 2015 in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/956,824.

Office Action dated Aug. 17, 2015 in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 11/456,402.

Russian Office Action in connection with Russian Patent Application No. 2012131356, filed Dec. 21, 2010, Decision on Grant.

Japanese Office Action dated Mar. 27, 2015 in connection with Japanese Patent Application No. 2012-546153, Notification of Reasons for Refusal (w/English Translation).

Chinese Office Action dated Dec. 16, 2014 in connection with Chinese Patent Application No. 201180057634.5, Notice of the First Office Action (w/English Translation).

Chinese Office Action dated Feb. 27, 2015 in connection with Chinese Patent Application No. 201280027261.1, Notification of the First Office Action (w/English Translation).

Office Action dated May 23, 2012 in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/645,800—non-final rejection.

* cited by examiner

FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6A




FIG. 6B




FIG. 7




FIG. 8




FIG. 9A




FIG. 9B




FIG. 9C




FIG. 10




FIG. 11




FIG. 12




FIG. 13




FIG. 14






FIG. 15

Diameter vs. Pressure

**FIG. 16**

2-Wire E2 vs. Hybrid Flower



1

RESILIENT DEVICE

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application is a continuation-in-part of U.S. application Ser. No. 11/456,376 filed Jul. 10, 2006, and is a continuation-in-part of U.S. application Ser. No. 11/456,390 filed Jul. 10, 2006, and is a continuation-in-part of U.S. application Ser. No. 11/456,402 filed Jul. 10, 2006, the complete disclosures of which are hereby incorporated herein by reference for all purposes.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. Field of the Invention

The present invention relates to a resilient device. More specifically, this invention relates to a device that has a working portion having a variable equivalent diameter, and an anchoring mechanism. The device is useful, e.g., for reducing or preventing urinary incontinence.

2. Description of the Prior Art

Stress urinary incontinence is a problem for many women. It is characterized by leakage of urine during a stressing event, such as a cough or a sneeze. Many devices have been designed to reduce or prevent stress urinary incontinence. U.S. Pat. No. 5,603,685 teaches inflatable devices and a means to provide a device that is small for insertion into the vagina and enlarges to a required shape and pressure to reduce or prevent urinary incontinence. U.S. Pat. No. 6,090,098 teaches tampon-like devices, each made with a combination of absorbing and/or non-absorbing fibrous materials. U.S. Pat. No. 6,645,137 teaches a coil that expands in the vagina. U.S. Pat. No. 5,036,867 teaches a compressible resilient pessary. U.S. Pat. No. 6,460,542 teaches a highly shaped rigid pessary. Many patents are drawn to stents that are sized and designed to keep arteries open.

Despite the teaching of the prior art, there is a continuing need for a device suitable for insertion into a vagina and useful for reducing or preventing urinary incontinence. In addition, a need exists to provide for safe and secure anchoring of disposable intravaginal devices.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

We have addressed the needs discussed above with the present invention. In one embodiment, an intravaginal device includes a working portion and an anchoring portion. The anchoring portion has at least one member extending beyond at least one end of the working portion to maintain the working portion in place during use.

In another embodiment, an intravaginal urinary incontinence device includes a stent having a working portion having opposed faces to provide support to an associated urinary system; and an anchoring portion to maintain the stent in place during use. The anchoring portion has at least one member extending beyond at least one end of the working portion.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a perspective view of a device according to the present invention;

FIG. 2 is a perspective view of the device of FIG. 1 in the insertion state while contained in an applicator.

FIG. 3 is a perspective view of the device of FIG. 1 in the use state.

2

FIG. 4 is a perspective view of a second device according to the present invention;

FIG. 5 illustrates several plan views of an anchoring portion according to the present invention;

FIG. 6A is a perspective view of a third device according to the present invention;

FIG. 6B is a side elevation of the device of FIG. 6A;

FIG. 7 is a side view of the device of FIG. 1;

FIG. 8 shows a bare wire form formed into an elastic structure and a coated wire form;

FIG. 9A-9C shows three alternative embodiments of a composite intravaginal device;

FIG. 10 is a device in a bag that is useful for the present invention;

FIG. 11 illustrates a tool utilized to form the devices utilized in the present invention;

FIG. 12 illustrates a tool utilized to heat-treat the devices utilized in the present invention;

FIG. 13 shows a diameter vs. pressure curve for a straight stent and a basket stent as described herein;

FIG. 14 shows a graph of the diameter versus pressure of a rabbit and flower stent;

FIG. 15 shows a graph of the diameter versus pressure of three different pressure levels of the flower stent; and

FIG. 16 shows a graph comparing the diameter versus pressure curve of two different pressure levels of the flower stent against a hybrid foam-wire device.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

As used herein the specification and the claims, the term “wire form” and variants thereof relate to a structure formed of at least one wire or wire-like material that is manipulated and optionally secured (e.g., by welding) in a desired three-dimensional structure.

As used herein the specification and the claims, the term “shape memory material” and variants thereof relate to materials that can be shaped into an initial shape, which initial shape can be subsequently formed into a stable second shape. The material is capable of substantially reverting to its initial shape upon exposure to an appropriate event, including without limitation mechanical deformation and a change in temperature.

As used herein the specification and the claims, the term “stent” and variants thereof relate to a device used to support a bodily orifice, cavity, vessel, and the like. The stent is resilient, flexible, and collapsible with memory. The stent may be any suitable form, including, but not limited to, scaffolding, a slotted tube or a wire form.

As used herein, a “stent” is a device used to support a bodily orifice, cavity, vessel, and the like. The stent is resilient, flexible, and collapsible with memory. The stent may be any suitable form, including, but not limited to, scaffolding, a slotted tube or a wire form.

Referring to FIGS. 1-8, there is shown a device 10 according to the present invention. The device 10 has a working portion 1 which is generally cylindrical in shape, which has a longitudinal axis, and which has opposed or outer faces 9a and 9b to provide support to an associated urinary system. Working portion 1 has an initial equivalent diameter d ranging from about 20 mm to about 170 mm and a length L1 ranging from about 15 mm to about 60 mm. Where the working portion is non-cylindrical (as shown in FIGS. 4 and 7), the equivalent diameter is the maximum distance in millimeters between the opposed or outer faces, 9a and 9b. The opposed or outer faces 9a and 9b are generally parallel to each other

3

and to the longitudinal axis and are defined by longitudinally extending struts. As seen in FIG. 2, working portion 1 has an insertion (in an applicator or other device for insertion) equivalent diameter d_2 ranging from about 5 mm to about 20 mm. As seen in FIG. 3, working portion 1 has a use equivalent diameter (in the vagina) d_3 ranging from about 5 mm to about 40 mm. Working portion 1 may be made of any elastic material that compresses and recovers with sufficient force to provide the desired effect. In one embodiment, the working portion 1 is made of Nitinol wire 20 and comprises alternating sinusoidal struts 2, 3 which intersect and form a strut angle θ . Alternating struts 2, 3 have a length L_2 and L_3 equal to the working portion length. The working pressure exerted by working portion 1 is determined by the thickness of the wire, the number of wires, the length of the struts and the strut angle, and the number of times the working portion is heat-treated. The number of wires may range from about 1 to about 20. The wires may be separate, twisted, or braided. For some applications, the working portion exerts a pressure of from about 5 to about 250 cm H₂O in the working state. Device 10 may also have an anchoring means, e.g., anchoring portion 4. Anchoring portion 4 is designed to keep the device in place when in use. Anchoring portion 4 is shaped suitable to keep the device in place while in use. Suitable shapes include, but are not limited to, a basket handle 5, rabbit ears 6, and a dog bone 7, as shown in FIG. 5. The anchoring portion may be made of the same material as the working portion or they may be made of different materials. The working portion and anchoring portion may be made as a uni-body construction, or may be made separately and joined by attachment means, such as silicone tubing 22. The devices may be treated to provide improved biocompatibility. The device may be placed inside tubing, for example silicone tubing, or may be dip coated in suitable polymeric materials.

Devices according to the present invention may be useful for treating or preventing urinary incontinence. For this application, the device is sized to fit comfortably in the vagina. All of the devices described below may have working portions with initial equivalent diameters of from about 20 to about 170 mm. Preferably, the working portion has a generally cylindrical working portion that may have an initial equivalent diameter ranging from about 20 to about 170 mm, preferably about 20 to about 45 mm, or more preferably about 30 mm; an insertion equivalent diameter ranging from about 5 to about 25 mm, preferably about 10 to about 20 mm, or more preferably about 18 mm; a use equivalent diameter ranging from about 20 to about 40 mm, preferably about 25 to about 30 mm, or more preferably about 25 mm; and a length ranging from about 20 to about 60 mm, preferably about 20 to about 30 mm, or more preferably about 25 mm. The anchoring portion extends beyond the working portion and may have an initial equivalent diameter ranging from about 20 to about 60 mm, preferably about 40 to about 60 mm, or more preferably about 50 mm; an insertion equivalent diameter ranging from about 10 to about 25 mm, preferably about 10 to about 20 mm, or more preferably about 18 mm; a use equivalent diameter ranging from about 20 to about 60 mm, preferably about 40 to about 60 mm, or more preferably about 50 mm; and a length ranging from about 10 to about 50 mm, preferably about 20 to about 40 mm, or more preferably about 30 mm.

For a basket stent (shown in FIGS. 6A & B), the working portion of the device has a length and equivalent diameter in the insertion state, the working state, and the removal state. The insertion state length may range from about 20 to about 30 mm, for example about 25 mm. The insertion state equivalent diameter may range from about 5 to about 20 mm, for example about 18 mm. The working state length at rest and

4

during a cough may range from about 20 to about 30 mm, for example about 25 mm. The working state equivalent diameter at rest may range from about 20 to about 30 mm, for example about 25 mm. The working state equivalent diameter during a cough may range from about 15 to about 25 mm, for example about 20 mm. The removal state length may range from about 20 to about 30 mm, for example about 25 mm. The removal state equivalent diameter may range from about 15 to about 20 mm, for example about 18 mm.

The anchoring portion of the device has a length (L_A) and width (W_A) in the insertion state, the working state, and the removal state. The insertion state length may range from about 25 to about 40 mm, for example about 30 mm. The insertion state width may range from about 15 to about 20 mm, for example about 18 mm. The working state length at rest and during a cough may range from about 25 to about 40 mm, for example about 30 mm. The working state width at rest and during a cough may range from about 25 to about 35 mm, for example about 30 mm. The removal state length may range from about 30 to about 50 mm, for example about 40 mm. The removal state width may range from about 15 to about 20 mm, for example about 18 mm.

For a straight stent, the working portion of the device has a length and equivalent diameter in the insertion state, the working state, and the removal state. The insertion state length may range from about 25 to about 60 mm, for example about 45 mm. The insertion state equivalent diameter may range from about 5 to about 20 mm, for example about 18 mm. The working state length at rest and during a cough may range from about 25 to about 60 mm, for example about 45 mm. The working state equivalent diameter at rest may range from about 20 to about 30 mm, for example about 25 mm. The working state equivalent diameter during a cough may range from about 15 to about 25 mm, for example about 20 mm. The removal state length may range from about 25 to about 60 mm, for example about 45 mm. The removal state equivalent diameter may range from about 15 to about 20 mm, for example about 18 mm.

For a rabbit stent (shown in FIGS. 1 & 3), the working portion of the device has a length and equivalent diameter in the insertion state, the working state, and the removal state. The insertion state length may range from about 20 to about 30 mm, for example about 25 mm. The insertion state equivalent diameter may range from about 10 to about 20 mm, for example about 15 mm. The working state length at rest and during a cough may range from about 20 to about 30 mm, for example about 25 mm. The working state equivalent diameter at rest and during a cough may range from about 10 to about 30 mm, for example about 18 mm. The removal state length may range from about 20 to about 30 mm, for example about 25 mm. The removal state equivalent diameter may range from about 10 to about 20 mm, for example about 15 mm. The height of the working portion in all states may range from about 20 to about 30 mm, for example about 25 mm.

The anchoring portion of the device has a length and width in the insertion state, the working state, and the removal state. The insertion state length may range from about 20 to about 50 mm, for example about 30 mm. The insertion width may range from about 10 to about 20 mm, for example about 18 mm. The working state length at rest and during a cough may range from about 20 to about 50 mm, for example about 30 mm. The working state width at rest and during a cough may range from about 20 to about 60 mm, for example about 50 mm at the top and from about 10 to about 50 mm, for example about 25 mm at the bottom. The removal state length may range from about 20 to about 50 mm, for example about 30

5

mm. The removal state width may range from about 10 to about 20 mm, for example about 18 mm.

For a flower stent (shown in FIGS. 4 & 7), the working portion of the device has a length and equivalent diameter in the insertion state, the working state, and the removal state. The insertion state length may range from about 20 to about 30 mm, for example about 25 mm. The insertion state equivalent diameter may range from about 10 to about 20 mm, for example about 15 mm. The working state length at rest and during a cough may range from about 20 to about 30 mm, for example about 25 mm. The working state equivalent diameter at rest may range from about 20 to about 35 mm, for example about 25 mm. The working state equivalent diameter during a cough may range from about 15 to about 30 mm, for example about 20 mm. The removal state length may range from about 20 to about 30 mm, for example about 25 mm. The removal state equivalent diameter may range from about 10 to about 20 mm, for example about 15 mm.

The anchoring portion of the device has a length and width in the insertion state, the working state, and the removal state. The insertion state length may range from about 20 to about 50 mm, for example about 30 mm. The insertion width may range from about 10 to about 20 mm, for example about 18 mm. The working state length at rest and during a cough may range from about 20 to about 60 mm, for example about 30 mm. The working state width at rest and during a cough may range from about 20 to about 60 mm, for example about 30 mm at the top and from about 10 to about 50 mm, for example about 20 mm at the bottom. The removal state length may range from about 20 to about 60 mm, for example about 30 mm. The removal state width may range from about 10 to about 20 mm, for example about 18 mm.

In one embodiment of the present invention, the working portion of the intravaginal devices is a stent. In other embodiments, the working portion may be a suppository, a vaginal tampon, a bladder support, and a combination thereof. Elements of the devices of the present invention may be made from any elastic or superelastic material. Suitable materials include, but are not limited to metals including metal alloys, for example a nickel-titanium ("NiTi") alloy known in the art as Nitinol. As is known in the art, there are a variety of ways to process NiTi, including resistance heating and permanent deformation to create a shape set. Other materials (other alloys, superelastic alloys or other NiTi compositions) may be utilized to make devices according to the present invention. Additionally, polymers including shape memory polymers (SMPs) may also be used in addition to or in place of the metals.

Shape memory is the ability of a material to remember its original shape, either after mechanical deformation, which is a one-way effect, or by cooling and heating which is a two-way effect. This phenomenon is based on a structural phase transformation. The first materials to have these properties were shape memory metal alloys including NiTi (Nitinol), CuZnAl (the first copper based SMA to be commercially exploited and the alloys typically contain 15-30 wt % Zn and 3-7 wt % Al), CuAlNi (may now be preferred to the CuZnAl; Cu13Al4Ni is one that is often used commercially), CuAlBe (a Cu12Al doped with less than 0.5% of beryllium), and FeNiAl alloys. Strains of up to about 10% can be fully recovered in these alloys. Examples of suitable alloys further include Algiloy, Stainless Steel, for example 304 stainless steel, and carbon spring steels. The structure phase transformation of these materials is known as martensitic transformation.

SMPs are light, high in shape memory recovery ability, easy to manipulate and process, and economical compared to

6

shape memory alloys. These materials are also useful for devices according to the present invention. There are few ways to achieve the shape memory properties. SMPs are characterized as phase segregated linear block co-polymers (e.g., thermoplastic elastomers) having a hard segment and soft segment that form physical cross-links. The hard segment is typically crystalline with a defined melting point, and the soft segment is typically amorphous with a defined glass transition temperature. The transition temperature of the soft segment is substantially less than the transition temperature of the hard segment. Examples of these materials include polyurethanes; polyether amides; polyether ester; polyester urethanes; polyether urethanes; and polyurethane/urea. SMPs are also formed by covalently cross-linked irreversible formation of the permanent shape. Different parameters that can be tailored for these materials are mechanical properties of permanent and temporary shape, customized thermal transitions, and kinetics of shape memory effect. SMPs can be biostable and bioabsorbable. Biostable SMPs are generally polyurethanes, polyethers, polyacrylates, polyamides, polysiloxanes, and their copolymers. Bioabsorbable SMPs are relatively new and include thermoplastic and thermoset materials. Shape memory thermosets may include poly (caprolactone) dimethacrylates; and shape memory thermoplastics may include combinations of different monomers to prepare polyester based copolymers.

When the SMP is heated above the melting point of the hard segment, the material can be shaped. This "original" shape can be memorized by cooling the SMP below the melting point of the hard segment. When the shaped SMP is cooled below the glass transition temperature of the soft segment while the shape is deformed, a new "temporary" shape is fixed. The original shape is recovered by heating the material above the glass transition temperature of the soft segment but below the melting point of the hard segment. The recovery of the original shape induced by an increase of temperature is called the thermal shape memory effect. Several physical properties of SMPs other than ability to memorize shape are significantly altered in response to external changes in temperature and stress, particularly at the glass transition of the soft segment. These properties include elastic modulus, hardness, and flexibility. The modulus of SMP can change by a factor of up to 200 when heated above the glass transition temperature of the soft segment. In order to prepare devices that will have sufficient stiffness, it is necessary to have thermal transitions such that the material will have high modulus at use temperature. For example, if a device is going to be used at body temperature, then the transition temperature may be higher than 37° C. (example 45-50° C.) so that upon cooling to 37° C. the modulus is high and thereby providing sufficient stiffness. It is also important to design the device such that it will compensate for lower physical properties compared to shape memory metal alloys. Some of the design features may include higher wall thickness; short connectors; or hinge points at appropriate locations. These materials can overcome some of the limitations with viscoelastic polymer properties such as creep and stress relaxation.

SMP can also be prepared by using TPEs prepared from hydrophilic polymers so that the phase transition can be also occur by physical changes due to moisture absorption. Examples of these TPEs are hydrophilic polymer ester amide (Pebax) and hydrophilic polyurethanes prepared by Eld Atochem and CardioTec International, respectively. Devices prepared from these materials will be soft and will be easier to remove after its use.

The shape memory materials may be formed of or at least enclosed within biocompatible materials, preferably materi-

als that are approved for use in the human body. For example, medical grade silicone rubber may enclose a wire form device. This may be achieved through one or more tubular sheaths about the wire or as a coating prepared on the wire.

As indicated above, the device may be made as a uni-body construction, or it may be a composite device, e.g., the working portion and anchoring portion may be made separately and joined by attachment means, such as silicone tubing. Additional elements features may be included to provide desired characteristics. In addition to improved biocompatibility, polymeric materials may cushion the device to minimize the risk of tissue damage.

For example, each working surface may have a pad 30 to distribute the forces directed toward the vaginal walls, thereby reducing the unit pressure applied by the device. This soft, resilient cushion could be made out of various types of medical grade sponges and foams (such as those formed from HYPOL™ Hydrophilic Polyurethane Prepolymers from Dow Chemical Company), thermoplastic elastomers ("TPE"), silicones, fibers, and the like.

As shown in FIG. 8, the device includes a wire form 50 formed into an elastic structure having an anchoring portion 52 and a working portion 54. The wire form 50 has a biocompatible polymer coating 56 disposed thereon. In the device of FIG. 8, the coating 56 has enlarged regions, each forming a pad 58 on one of the working surfaces of the working portion 54.

In the alternative embodiments shown in FIG. 9A, the device may replace the full coating of FIG. 8 with tubing 56' and a single compressible foam working portion 54'. The embodiment of FIG. 9B, employs two separate pad elements 58" as the working portion 54". The wire form 50" that extends to form the anchoring portion 52" provides elasticity to the pad elements 58" to support the urinary system. The embodiment of FIG. 9C incorporates the Rabbit stent anchoring portion 52'" and replaces the working portion wire form with an enlarged pad structure 54'"'. Again, this enlarged pad structure may be formed of any appropriate resilient material including foams, fibrous structures, and the like.

In addition, the pessary 10 may be loaded with various pharmacological compounds and additives, such as hormones and/or alpha-adrenoceptor agonists, urethra selective stimulators, prostaglandins, anticholinergics, hormones, nicotine, cytostatics, tranquilizers, local anaesthetics and other compounds, such as pharmacologically active alpha-[tertiary-aminomethyl]-benzenemethanol derivatives and other compounds as disclosed in U.S. Pat. No. 5,527,821 to Willman et al., as well as toxin inhibitors such as glyceryl monolaurate and related compounds as disclosed in Brown-Skrobot et al., U.S. Pat. No. 5,547,985, each of which is incorporated by reference herein.

Methods of associating drugs, hormones or other pharmacological compounds with an object for drug administration to the body are well known to those skilled in the art, as for example, described in U.S. Pat. No. 5,188,835 and German Patent No. 198 29 713, both incorporated in their entirety by reference herein. In still a further embodiment, topical medications, ointments or creams can be associated with pessary 10 by infusion (injection), coating or absorption into the pores of a sponge-like material of the medication of the pessary 10 and slowly released, within a day or two, into the vaginal cavity. This embodiment of the invention may be used for treating dryness, irritation, or other local conditions. The ointment, cream, etc., can be replenished into the pessary on an as needed basis.

As shown in FIG. 10, the intravaginal devices also may be enclosed in a sheet-like material 60 that may reduce friction

during deployment, shield a wire form from view (to be aesthetically pleasing), help control the device during insertion and removal, help the device to stay in place, contain absorbent fibers of a tampon, contain a suppository substance, and/or create more contact area for applying pressure to the bladder neck. The sheet-like material may be formed into a cover or flexible bag 62 that may also provide increased friction against the vaginal epithelium in comparison to a silicone-coated wire form to reduce the likelihood of undesired movement during use, e.g., becoming skewed. Any medically appropriate sheet-like materials may be used to form the cover or bag, and depending upon the desired end-use, it may be opaque, light, and/or breathable. Useful sheet-like materials include those used in the manufacture of tampons, such as nonwoven fabrics and plastic film, including apertured films. The cover or bag itself may also be apertured.

The device preferably includes a withdrawal element such as a removal string 64. This may be crisscrossed between the struts of the device to create a "cinch sac" mechanism. Any string or cord known in the sanitary protection art may be useful for this purpose. As the strings are pulled during removal, the struts are gathered together to create a smaller diameter device during removal. Cinching the device at its base may make removal of the device more comfortable and easier as it makes the diameter of the device smaller and the shape conducive to remove easily.

The device may be contained within an applicator 66 similar to those known for use in delivering tampons and suppositories as shown in FIG. 2. The applicator may be a push-type applicator or a retractable applicator. A collar 68 may be added to control the depth of insertion.

EXAMPLES

The following examples are illustrative of devices according to the present invention. The claims should not be construed to be limited to the details thereof.

Prototype devices were modeled in shape and scale after existing, predicate vaginal pessary devices. There were two geometries presented for this device. The expanded stent device was approximately 35 mm in diameter and 55 mm long. The first of the proposed geometries was a simple S-shaped stent like a ring; the second resembled the form of a handled basket and was modeled in the form of the classic "ring" pessary. In its design the "basket" portion was approximately 25 mm high and the "handle" made up the balance of the overall length.

Both are assemblies of four known medical materials. The collapsed vaginal stents were enclosed in a commercial plastic tampon applicator. The working assemblies were made up of a nickel-titanium wire form (Nitinol), which was covered by a medical grade silicone rubber (silastic) tube. This covered wire form "stent" was placed in a heat-sealed bag made of the same standard non-woven polypropylene material used in tampon covers. This covered device was made to be easily removable by the addition of a tampon cotton string, as a cinch and removal pull.

The nickel-titanium wire used in these prototypes was the same alloy as used in vascular systems. Post-shape-setting processing of the metal does not effect corrosion and biocompatibility of the device. The silicone tubing was also a known medical grade material. The silastic tubing was Dow Q7-4750.

The general procedure was to shape an SE508 NiTi into the design on a form using one or multiple steps heating the fixture and form to about 500° C. for at least one minute for each step. Any excess wire was cut from the form. As is

9

known in the art, the wire may be chemically etched to provide further biocompatibility. The wire was enclosed in a rubbery polymer coating such as silicone assuring to fasten the wire ends such that they may not puncture the surface.

Example 1

Rabbit Flat Pessary

Approximately 1 foot of straightened and etched SE508 wire, 0.0315" diameter was obtained. The tool **100** pictured in FIG. **11** was made using conventional techniques known in stent art. In a smooth upswing, the wire was wrapped around the pins in the following order to create the pattern: P7, P3, P1CC, P3, P6CC, P3, P6, P4, P8CC, P5, P8, P5, P2CC, P5, P7, P1CC, P3, P7 (the wrapping was clockwise, unless indicated by "CC"). The zigzag wrapping pattern was smoothly discontinued and the final end of the wire was poked through holes in the fixture to secure it. A large hose clamp was wrapped around the fixture, over the zigzag portion. The clamp was tightened to keep the wires in position, but not so much as to compress the wires to the surface of the fixture. The wound wire was heat treated on the fixture for 3 minutes in a 505C (calibrated) salt pot, then quenched with water. The heat-treated wire was removed from the fixture by unwinding it. The wire was trimmed at point P3 allowing for overlap along the "ear" and the overlapping wires were wrapped to hold them together with NiCr wire. A secondary heat treatment fixture **102** shown in FIG. **12** was made according to methods known in the art. The wire was aligned to form onto the fixture. The ends of the wire were ground to remove sharp and jagged edges.

The wire form component was passivated by methods known in the art to optimize biocompatibility. Some wire form components were etched or chemically processed to optimize biocompatibility. The parts were moved to a clean room and dipped in denatured alcohol before being placed on a clean table. All tools were cleaned with isopropyl alcohol as well as gloved hands before touching parts from denatured alcohol solution. Tubing was cleaned with Isopropyl alcohol by dripping through with a disposable pipette. The tube was dried by wicking onto a paper towel. The tube was filled with 2-4 inches of lubricant mineral oil from a syringe. Pressed fingers were run along the tube to spread the oil evenly along the inside. The tubing was slid over the wire carefully paying attention that the wire ends did not poke through the tubing. The tubing was pulled back to expose both wire ends. The ends were lined up so that the ear rests naturally. Forceps were used to hold the tubing back from the wire ends. Shrink tube was placed across the wire ends and heated to hold wire ends in place. The tubing was slid over the shrink tube section. Tubing ends were overlapped by at least 0.5 cm by pressing the ends together.

Example 2

Flower Flat Pessary

Approximately 1 foot of straightened and etched SE508 wire, 0.0315" diameter was obtained. The tool **100** pictured in FIG. **11** was made using conventional techniques known in stent art. In a smooth upswing, the wire was wrapped around the pins in the following order to create the pattern: P6, P3, P1CC, P3, P6, P4, P7, P6, P3, P1CC, P3, P6, P4, P7, P5, P2CC, P5, P7, P4, P6, P3, P1CC, P3, P5, P2CC, P5, P7, P4, P6, P3, P1CC, and P3. The zigzag-wrapping pattern was smoothly discontinued and the final end of the wire was

10

poked through holes in the fixture to secure it. A large hose clamp was wrapped around the fixture, over the zigzag portion. The clamp was tightened to keep the wires in position, but not so much as to compress the wires to the surface of the fixture. The wound wire was heat treated on the fixture for 3 minutes in a 505C (calibrated) salt pot, then quenched with water. The heat-treated wire was removed from the fixture by unwinding it. The wire was trimmed at point P3 allowing for overlap along the "ear" and the overlapping wires were wrapped to hold them together with NiCr wire. A secondary heat treatment fixture **102** shown in FIG. **12** was made according to methods known in the art. The wire was aligned to form onto the fixture. The ends of the wire were ground to remove sharp and jagged edges. The wire form component was passivated by methods known in the art to optimize biocompatibility. Some wire form components were etched or chemically processed to optimize biocompatibility. The parts were moved to a clean room and dipped in denatured alcohol before being placed on a clean table. All tools were cleaned with isopropyl alcohol as well as gloved hands before touching parts from denatured alcohol solution. Tubing was cleaned with Isopropyl alcohol by dripping through with a disposable pipette. The tube was dried by wicking onto a paper towel. The tube was filled with 2-4 inches of lubricant mineral oil from a syringe. Pressed fingers were run along the tube to spread the oil evenly along the inside. The tubing was slid over the wire carefully paying attention that the wire ends did not poke through the tubing. The tubing was pulled back to expose both wire ends. The ends were lined up so that the ear rests naturally. Forceps were used to hold the tubing back from the wire ends. Shrink tube was placed across the wire ends and heated to hold wire ends in place. The tubing was slid over the shrink tube section. Tubing ends were overlapped by at least 0.5 cm by pressing the ends together.

Example 3

Multi-Wire Flower Pessary

The level of severity of incontinence varies greatly from woman to woman and changes throughout a woman's life. Mechanically, this level is determined by the support of the pelvic floor musculature. When this muscle system is weakened, the urethra does not properly close when intra-abdominal pressure is exerted onto the bladder. In order to address the various levels of support of the pelvic floor musculature, there are three pressure levels of the device: Pressure **1** (for women who need minimal amount of support), Pressure **2** (for moderate support), and Pressure **3** (for women who need the greatest support).

To test this concept, the device of Example 2 was reproduced in these three different pressure levels: Pressure **1** was formed with two wires generally as described above in Example 2; Pressure **2** was formed with three wires; and Pressure **4** was formed with four wires.

Example 4

Composite Flower Pessary

The device of Example 2 was reproduced with the wire form working portion with a pair of separate foam pad elements. The wire form that extends to form the anchoring portion provides elasticity to the pad elements to support the urinary system.

Examples 1-4

Each of the exemplary devices were tested to determine the outward pressure exerted as it expands from a compressed

state as described below, and the resulting diameter vs. pressure curves are shown in FIGS. 13-16.

Expansion Pressure Test

The expansion pressure test was used to determine the outward pressure the device was able to exert as it expanded from its compressed insertion state to its deployed or use state in the body. Equilibrium of the expansion pressure and the internal resistance of the body determined the diameter of the device in place.

The outward pressure the device exerts at various compression states (insertion, during use at rest, and during use under stress) was measured using a simple linear scale (Mettler PK 4800 scale). The pressure the device exerted as well as the diameter of the device were measured and recorded.

The device is tested by placing the device between the scale and a custom-made arm that compresses the device at known, incremental distances, measured in mm. The device was measured first at its free state (i.e., for rabbit: 20 mm) and then slowly compressed in increments (i.e. 1 mm or 5 mm). The force that the device exerts on the scale at known compression increments was measured in grams. The pressure was calculated by converting the force measurement from grams to pounds-force. The pounds-force was then converted to PSI units by dividing the pound-force by the contact area of the device. The contact area of the device was defined as the working portion of the device. The PSI units were then converted into cm H₂O pressure. The resulting device diameter (mm) versus pressure (cm H₂O) was then graphed.

This Pressure Curve Slope illustrates outward pressure that the device exerts on the body varies with device compression. This pressure increases as compression increases and reduces as the device is unloaded. This is an important behavior of our device because at rest, the pressure in the vagina is low (approximately 35 cm H₂O). When a woman has a stress event such as coughing or sneezing, high intra-abdominal pressure as much as over 140 cm H₂O is exerted on the bladder in a very short time period. When this event occurs, the device needs to quickly react to this sudden increase in pressure.

When the device is at rest, the device is compressed to about 20-25 mm. When a sudden intra-abdominal pressure is exerted on the device, the device is compressed down to 10-15 mm. At rest, it is important to that the pressure that the device exerts on the body is low for comfort and safety. When the device is compressed during high intra-abdominal pressure events, the device needs to exert adequate pressure quickly and then relax to its original low resting pressure when the stress event is completed.

The devices similar to those of Example 3 may be used to illustrate the desired dynamic elasticity of the intravaginal urinary incontinence device. The following table illustrates desired Expansion Pressure targets when the Low-, Medium-, and High-support devices are compressed to the specified diameter—e.g., a Resting Diameter of 25 mm or a Compressed Diameter of 10 mm.

Device	# of Wires	Pressure at 25 mm Resting Diameter (cm H ₂ O)	Pressure at 10 mm Compressed Diameter (cm H ₂ O)
Low	2	25	100
Moderate	3	50	175
High	4	75	225

More generally, the elastic working portion preferably has a first use equivalent diameter (a "Resting Diameter") of at least about 15 mm under an expansion pressure of 20 cm H₂O. This reflects the device under normal use conditions. A more preferred first use equivalent diameter is at least about 20 mm under an expansion pressure of 35 cm H₂O.

A second use equivalent diameter of about 5 to about 25 mm under an expansion pressure of 100 cm H₂O reflects the device under stress use conditions, such as a sneeze. A more preferred second use equivalent diameter is at least about 10 mm under an expansion pressure of 140 cm H₂O.

Preferably, the second use equivalent diameter is less than the first use equivalent diameter. More preferably, the second use equivalent diameter is less than the first use equivalent diameter and at least about 20% of the first use equivalent diameter.

A third use equivalent diameter of about 10 to about 20 under expansion pressure of 150 cm H₂O reflects severe stress use conditions.

We claim:

1. An intravaginal urinary incontinence device having a longitudinal axis and comprising a substantially hollow elastic working portion comprising a plurality of at least three longitudinally extending struts, each of which is substantially parallel to the longitudinal axis, and at least partially define outer surfaces of the device having a first use equivalent diameter of at least about 15 mm under an expansion pressure of 20 cm H₂O, and a second use equivalent diameter less than the first use equivalent diameter at the same expansion pressure, wherein the diameters are measured as a maximum distance between the outer surfaces of the device.

2. The incontinence device of claim 1, wherein the working portion has a third use equivalent diameter of about 10 to about 20 under expansion pressure of 150 cm H₂O.

3. The incontinence device of claim 1, wherein the first use equivalent diameter is at least about 20 mm under an expansion pressure of 35 cm H₂O and the second use equivalent diameter is at least about 10 mm under an expansion pressure of 140 cm H₂O.

4. The incontinence device of claim 1, wherein the working portion comprises a structure selected from the group consisting of a slotted tube, a wire form, and combinations thereof.

5. The incontinence device of claim 1, wherein the elastic working portion comprises a material selected from the group consisting of polymers, metals, and combinations thereof.

6. The incontinence device of claim 1, further comprising an anchoring portion comprising at least one member extending longitudinally beyond at least one end of the working portion to maintain the working portion in place during use.

7. The incontinence device of claim 6, wherein the at least one member of the anchoring portion is a looped member extending longitudinally beyond at least one end of the working portion and returning to the working portion.

8. The incontinence device of claim 6, wherein the anchoring portion is arranged and configured to engage walls of a user's vaginal fornices.

9. The incontinence device of claim 6, wherein the anchoring portion comprises at least two members extending longitudinally beyond the at least one end of the working portion.

10. The incontinence device of claim 6, wherein the anchoring portion comprises a shape selected from the group consisting of a basket handle, a dog bone, a rabbit ear, and combinations thereof.

11. The incontinence device of claim 8, comprising a cushioning material on the anchoring portion arranged and configured to engage walls of a user's vaginal fornices.

12. An intravaginal urinary incontinence device comprising:

- a) an elastic working portion comprising
- i) opposed working surfaces to provide support to an associated urinary system; and

- ii) a cushion comprising a soft, resilient material that is associated with each of the working surfaces; and
- b) an anchoring portion operatively connected to the working portion to maintain the working portion at a desired location within a user's vagina;

5

wherein the anchoring portion has at least one looped member comprising an elastic material extending longitudinally beyond at least one end of the working portion and returning to the working portion capable of engaging vaginal walls.

13. The incontinence device of claim **12**, wherein the working portion comprises a structure selected from the group consisting of a slotted tube, a wire form, and combinations thereof.

10

14. The incontinence device of claim **12**, wherein the cushion comprises a material selected from the group consisting of sponges and foams, thermoplastic elastomers, silicones, fibers, and combinations thereof.

15

* * * * *